Tom Munson - Kennecott TRC meeting, 10-30-01

From:

Tom Munson

To:

Mary Ann Wright; Wayne

Subject: Kennecott TRC meeting, 10-30-01

CC:

Joelle Burns

On October 30,2001, I attended a Technical Review Committee Meeting. Attached is the agenda and the list of attendees. The following issues are discussed as important information to follow regarding the treatment of the acid plume and the implications for mining and reclamation plan of the mine and tailing impoundment and pipeline. We will hopefully obtain a set of the overheads and a set of complete notes as a lot of information was presented and my notes are by no way complete. Jon Cherry opened the meeting stating that the commitment to pump 2000 acre feet of acid plume water or Zone A water would be met by August 21, 2002. Approximately 1322 acre feet of water needs to be pumped to meet this commitment. This equates to a rate of 432 GPM and they are currently pumping 550 GPM. The goal is to ramp up this amount to 12001800 GPM acid plume water. They are currently sampling 320 wells for water level Spring and Fall and water quality in 96 wells. The first date that a report will come out is January of 2002, this report will be called the Final Preliminary Design.

The current volumes of tailings flowing through the pipeline is 40,00060,000 gpm. Approximately 2500 GPM will be input from the acid plume and 1000 GPM from the Eastside collection system need to be treated. The current nanofiltration system is not working out due the cost being 6 times drinking water costs and as such, cost is making the company convert to a burnt lime treatment of the acid waters. The neutralization potential of the ore is different now then in the past due to mining a quartzsite ore versus limestone ore with more inherent neutralization potential. The mine closure plan will call for a stand alone lime treatment facility. The current addition of lime comes from the Copperton Concentrator which runs burnt lime in their systems to add flotation of the metals. The down side of adding lime is scaling of the pipeline with CaSo4 or gypsum and as such they will be replacing 12 miles of pipeline at the front end with a 84 inch HDPE pipeline (do we need an amendment?). For the short term they will be adding lime directly to the pipeline at approximately 200 tons per day. The lime will need to be added 24 hours per day versus the current 816 hours per day.

The current problem being when the mine was within the limestone bearing ores their neutralizing potential was aided by 150,000 tons of calcite and this equated to 30 tons CaCo3/KT in the pipeline. Now that they do not have adequate potential acid neutralization at the splitter box where the acid neutralization is monitoried, they will ramp up the lime slaking facility at the Copperton Concnetrator due to the lack of Calcite. If neccessary, they will install more capacity to treat the acid plume water with Burnt Lime if necessary which eventually means a PH level of 6.8 at the impoundment, the target PH. At the ultimate Acid Plume pumpage levels of 2500 GPM and 1000 GPM from the Eastside dumps and Cutoff trenches, a lime treatment facility will be necessary to treat the acid component. Aluminum and Iron are the most important components of the acidity problem and Aluminum Hydroxide is precipitated at a PH level of 6:

The tailings is being sampled from NOV. DEC. approximately 77 samples have been taken from trenches to be analyzed fro Paste PH, Conductivity, and SOBEK Neutralization potential? These samples are taken from @ inches and 4-12 inches and 12-24 inches. Please see the agenda and list of attendees as an attachment.

PLS FILE JOELLE M/035/002 NRDC

KENNECOTT UTAH COPPER SOUTH FACILITIES REMEDIAL DESIGN

TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (TRC)

OCTOBER 30, 2001

AGENDA

I.	Introductions	Jon Cherry	5 mins
II.	Review of Remedial Design Work Plan	Jon Cherry	30 mins
	a. Extraction Program to Dateb. Monitoring		
	c. Modeling		
	d. Preliminary Design Update		
	e. Discussion/Questions		30 mins
II. III. V.	Tails Geochemistry and Scaling	Mark Logsdon	30 mins
	a. Mine Plan and Neutralization Potenti	al	
	b. Review of Original Assumptions		
	c. What has changed		
	d. Lime Addition System		
	e. Update on Geochemical Study		
	f. Discussion/Questions		30 mins
IV.	RO Pilot Test Update	Helmar Bayer	15 mins
	a. Testing of K60/109 Water		
	b. Recovery, Stream Factor, etc.		
	c. Concentrate Quality vs. UPDES limits	S	
	d. Permeate Quality vs. DW Standards		
	e. Progress of Preliminary Design		
	f. Discussion/Questions		15 mins
V.	Water Rights	Brian Vinton	15 mins
	a. Review Existing Water Rights		
	b. Review Existing Water Uses		
	c. Planned Extraction vs. Water Rights		
	d. Discussion/Questions		15 mins
VI.	Schedule	Jon Cherry	15 mins
VII.	Discussion/Action Items	Jon Cherry	30 mins

Nama		Company	Phone	Email
Jone	herry	Kennecott	569-7128	cherryje kemecott.com
Eva	Hoffman	EPA		hoffman, eva Q epa.g.
	& Buguley	HERRIMAN/HERR		mbeg lady Dhotmaile
	ms Frederick	upwa		38 d Frederi Odag Stoke ut
	mery	FOGSL		
and the same of th	munson		801-538-532	preson another state
	g Bacon			2 dbucon@deg.state.ut
	h Logselon	DEQ/DERR Grockinica, Kucci,	1 801/569-7	ory logs for me con
	iasel Bay	JVWCD	565-4300	vickard's Bjuncal, ore
0	STOLP			
	G TAYLOR	uDSHW	538-6857	Distologusgs:90 Itayloradeg, stale, ut.
		& Kennecott	569-7120	doughtyp@kanecot.c
	11	ovestry, Fire, La.		
		Water Rights		hrwrt.jmanning@state
		Utah Ornk. A		froberts @deg, state.
	1 1			
	an and			707 MBUCICHAD & CO.SIC.UJ.
Alum	Parkand	Juwcs	5/5-8902 0	
10	· Ilsi II	Uperalowa	530-0153	lanp@jowed.org
Ma	Atau	Tuko	565-4200	dhullodog, state.
X1 \.	V.1.1	77000	767-7700	Marka@jvwcd. org
				evans@kennecott.co
				lucy & kennewit.com
A.	a Vistas K	cuertalans 50	69-7887 Kh	vinton @ Kennorott. Com